Site	Assessment	Report -	Main	Report
------	------------	-----------------	------	--------

LP Site Ref

LP1100

Site Details								
				E	astings	411771	Northings	427831
Full Address	Land at Cherr	y Tree Farr	n, Denholme	Gate Road, Shelf,	Halifax, .			
Ward	Northowram	and Shelf	Ward		Local Pl	an Area	Northowram a	and Shelf
Current RCUDP A Greenbelt, Mine		•	e corridors					
Land Type	Greenfield		Topography	Relatively Flat			Site Area (ha)	0.34
Is the site an effi	cient use of la	nd? RAG	Greenfield	ł				
Current Land	Use							
Primary	Agriculture							
Secondary								
Adjacent La	nd Use to the:							
North	Residential							
South	Agriculture							
East	ast Residential							
West	West Residential							
Public Consul	tation							
Comments for al - The site lies adj			ial developme	ent in a sustainabl	e locatior	۱.		
Comments again	st allocating th	ne site						
- No comments								
Flooding								
Flooding Zone Co	overage		9	Surface Water Flo	oding			
Flood Zone 1 (Are	ea %)	100	:	L in 30 Year (Area	%)		0	
Flood Zone 2 (Are	ea %)	0		L in 100 Year (Are			0	
Flood Zone 3a (A	rea %)	0	-	L in 1000 Year (Ar	ea %)		0	
Flood Zone 3ai (A	vrea %)	0						
Flood Zone 3b (A	rea %)	0						

Strategic Recommendation

Permitted subject to consultation with the LPA / LLFA

Highways

Highways England

Summary

Highways England Site Comments

Strategic Highway Network Status Level of impact

Location of primary impact ie nearest junction

Potential impact of non SRN traffic passing through the junction

Potential for cumulative impact

Committed mitigation schemes

Is additional mitigation likely to be required by 2028?

Comments

Strategic Road Network RAG No significant impact on the road network

Highways Development Management

Site Access

Site Observations and Planning Application

Frontage along A644 is the sole route for adjoining site 1101. Such a new access would be near the A644/A6036 roundabout intersection. New Direct access on to "A" Class highways is not normally acceptable because of interrupting the flow of through traffic.

Mitigation

Operation of A644 / A603 roundabout needs assessment

Conclusion (see methodology)

Developable (B)

Justification

Technical Information Required

 Site Access RAG
 Potential access issues which are resolvable

 Impact on Local Road Network
 Impact on the road network requiring mitigation

Ecology

 Ecology RAG
 No impact on environmentally sensitive areas

 Open Space

 OS Ref

Ranking

OS Typology

OS Recommendation

Open Space RAG

No loss/No Impact

Historic Environment

Historic England

Comments

1 and 2 Mountain Cottage are Grade II Listed Buildings.In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently-undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of these Listed Buildings and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon their significance. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable.

Suggested Change

Before allocating this site for development:-(1) An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to those elements which contribute towards the significance of the Listed Buildings in its vicinity and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon their significance.(2) If it is considered that the development of this site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings, then the Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced.(3) If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of any of these Listed Buildings, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (as is required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134).

Historic Conservation

Comments

Site is slightly higher than listed buildings so would need to ensure any development is not overbearing and dominant in the streetscene and skyline. Suggest setting built up area away from south part of site. Screening/landscaping important to mitigate impact of development on setting.

Recommendation

Mitigation

Historic Environmental RAG	Some impact which could be mitigated
Housing Services	
Comments	
Housing Services RAG	ositive

Business and Economy Services

Comments

Μ	itigation	
	inguion	

Conclusion

Business and Economy RAG	Positive		
Minerals			
Stone Mineral Safegaurding Area	Within MSA		
Coal Mineral Safeguarding Area	Within MSA		
Minerals RAG	Within MSA		
Environmental Health			
Comments			
A small holding appears to be south of would need to go. Any houses sited it		erry Tree Farm has several agricultural building which ed good acoustic design.	
Environmental Health RAG	ere is no significant detr	imental effect that cannot be mitigated against	
Other Factors			
Physical Constraints RAG	Relatively flat		
Agricultural Land Classification RAG	Lies within 4 or 5	(and urban)	
Logical Settlement Boundary RAG	Edged on 1-2 side	S	
Accessibility			
Distance to Bus Stop		Less than 400m	
Distance to Rail Station		More than 2km	
Distance to Publicly Accessible Open Space		Less than 600m	
Journey time to Town Centre		Less than 15 mins	
Journey time to Shops Selling Day to	Day Goods	Less than 15 mins	
Journey time to Hospital		Between 30 and 60 mins	
Journey time to General Practitioner		Less than 15 mins	
Distance to Primary School		Less than 15 mins	
Journey time to Secondary School		Less than 20 mins	
Journey time to Further or Higher Ed	ucation	Between 30 and 60 mins	
Journey time to Primary Employmen	t Sites	Less than 20 mins	
Green Belt Review			
Green Belt Review (Parcel)	Meets 3-5 of the identif	ed purposes	

Green Belt Review (Parcel)

Green Belt Review (Site Specific)

Meets 0-2 of the identified purposes

Deliverability Developable Area (ha) 0.34 Dwellings per Hectare 40 Residential Capacity 14 Site Summary RAG Score 40 /48
Site Summary
RAG Score 10 /18
Overall Assessment Summary This is a relatively flat, greenfield site on the edge of the urban area with residential to the north, east and west. The site is designated Green Belt and Wildlife Corridor. It is fairly accessible to local facilities and amenities and is within 400m of a bus stop with a service at least every 30 minutes. However, it is more than 2km to a railway station. There are few constraints to the site. Highways Development Management considers the site accessible with an assessment of the operation of A644 / A603 roundabout required. There are no flooding or ecological constraints. However, Historic England have concerns over the adjacent grade two listed buildings. However, the Historic Conservation officer has advised that design could mitigate any potential harm. A Heritage Impact Assessment would be required before the allocation of this site. Environmental Health considers that site would require appropriate design to mitigate noise from the road and a potential buffer between any housing and the small holding to the south. While the overall parcel within which the site is located performs strongly when assessed against the five green
belt purposes, when assessing the revised boundary of the specific site, it performs poorly. The preferred use of the site is for housing with a potential capacity of up 14 dwellings depending on mitigation required.

Outcome

New Housing Site