Greenbelt Site Survey Form

SITE INFORMATION Local Plan Site Ref LP1035 Property Name/No. Land at Site Area 0.95 **30 Burned Road** Road/Street Name 412637 Shelf Eastings Locality Halifax Northings 429191 Town Local Plan Area Northowram and Shelf Ward Northowram and Shelf Ward

PUR	POSE I: To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas	
Q1.	Does the site act as an effective barrier against sprawl from large built up areas?	Yes
Q2.	Does the site constitute as part of the wider Green Belt a strategic barrier against the sprawl of large built up areas?	Yes
Q3.	Is there a robust permanent Green Belt / development boundary?	No
Q4.	Is the land separate from the large built up area?	No
Q5.	Would the loss of this Green Belt land potentially lead to ribbon development?	No
Q6.	Would development result in an isolated development site not connected to existing boundaries?	No
Q7.	Would development of the site create an irregular settlement pattern?	Yes
Q8.	Is this Green Belt site connected by two or less boundaries to the built up area?	Yes
Q9.	Is the land contiguous with other Green Belt up to and beyond the Borough boundary?	Yes
Devel	opment of site would lead to Low potential for unres	tricted sprawl
Does site fulfil Green Belt Purpose I?		

PUR	POSE II: To prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another	
Q1.	Does the site provide part of a gap or space between existing large built up areas?	No
Q2.	What is the nature of the countryside between the towns, rural or semi rural?	Semi Rural
Q3.	Is there visibility between built up areas?	Yes
Q4.	Do natural features and infrastructure provide a good physical barrier or boundary to the site that would ensure that development was contained?	No
Q5.	Is the site sparsley developed or undeveloped?	Yes
Q6.	Would the loss of this Green Belt land lead to a significant reduction in the distance between towns?	No
Q7.	Would the loss of this Green Belt land increase the potential merging of towns?	No
Q8.	Would the loss of this Green Belt land potentially lead to ribbon development between towns?	No
Deve	lopment of the site Would not result in the mer	ging of towns
Does	site fulfil Green Belt Purpose II?	No
PUR	POSE III: To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment	
Q1a	Is the site free from significant encroachment?	No
Q1b	If there is significant encroachment, what is the proportion as a percentage of the site?	30
Q2.	Is there a strong defensible boundary between the existing urban area and the site?	No
Q3.	Is there a landscape designation?	No
Q4.	Is there a wildlife designation or value?	No
Q5.	Is there an ecological or geological/geomorphological designation or value?	No
Q6.	Is there a rural land use?	Yes
Q7.	Is the land tranquil?	No
Q8.	Is there public access or recreational use?	No
Q 9.	Are the functions of the land consistent with its Green Belt designation?	Yes
Q10	Does the site include any best grade 1 or 2 or 3 agricultural land?	No
O11	Is the site free from derelict brownfield land?	Ves

Does not perform an important role in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment

No

No

Q12 Is the predominant use of land and building agricultural?

Does site fulfil Green Belt Purpose III?

The site

PURPOSE IV: To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns				
Q1.	Is the land part of the setting of a historic place or settlement listed building or conservation area?	No		
Q2.	Would the loss of this Green Belt land adversely affect the special character of a historic place or settlement?	No		
Q3.	Would the loss of this Green Belt land reduce the significance of a historic place or settlement?	No		
Deve	lopment of site would lead to			
Would have no effect on the setting and special character of historic features				
Does	site fulfil Green Belt Purpose IV?	No		
PURPOSE V: To assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land				
Does	site fulfil Green Belt Purpose V?	Yes		
Comi	ments			
As most areas within the Green Belt would fulfil the criteria for assessing this specific purpose it has not been separately screened in this assessment. For completeness, all sites have been concluded to fulfil this purpose				
OVERALL ASSESSMENT SUMMARY				
Number of Puposes Fulfilled				
Meets 0-2 of the identified purposes				
Comments				
Site performs poorly when assessed against five Green Belt purposes.				